

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,045	09/22/2003	Lee M. Hively	920976.00006	7844
26710	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
•	& BRADY LLP ONSIN AVENUE		BATHINI JR, LEON M	
SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			2857	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•		DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,045	HIVELY, LEE M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leon M. Bathini Jr.	2857			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/22/0	<u>03</u> .				
2a)□ 1	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims	,				
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,11,13,14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>8,9,12 and 15</u> is/are objected to.		•			
8) 📙 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
P	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[_ 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No			
* \$ 6	application from the International Bureau	,	od.			
Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	or the certified copies not receive	: u .			
Attachment(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/2003.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Minor Informalities

1. Claims 4, 5, and 6 are objected to because of the following informality. The "process-indicative data" lacks antecedent basis. The "process-indicative data" is not recited in claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 10, 13, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,132 B1).

With respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10, 13, 14, and 18, Hively et al. teach the following:

- acquiring a plurality of sets of data for at least one channel of data for at least one test subject or process (col. 12, lines 21-29);
- computing a renormalized measure of dissimilarity from distribution functions derived from a phase space for each respective channel of data (col. 10, lines 3-16 and col. 14, lines 34-42);

Application/Control Number: 10/667,045 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

- comparing said renormalized measure of dissimilarity to a threshold (Uc) for number occurrences (Nocc) to indicate a condition change in said renormalized measure of dissimilarity (col. 10, lines 14-19; col. 14, lines 42-44; and col. 15, lines 55-58);

- detecting a simultaneous condition change in a plurality (Nsim) of renormalized measures of dissimilarity to determine a forewarning of the critical event (col. 14, lines 50-61);
- wherein said one channel of data corresponds to a parameter that is calculated from a plurality parameters corresponding to a plurality of channels of data (col. 12, lines 21-39).
- a method of computing a multi-channel time-delay phase-space (PS) construction, which has the form: $y(i)=[s(1)I, s(1)i+\lambda, s(1)i+2\lambda, ..., s(2), s(2)i+\lambda, s(2)i+2\lambda, ..., s(c)I, s(c)i+\lambda, s(c)i+2\lambda, ...]$ where s(c) denotes the symbolized data for c-th channel (col. 6, lines 3-24);
 - wherein the test subject is a human patient (col. 12, lines 21-29)
- wherein the test subject is a mechanical device or physical process (col. 17, lines 41-43)
- wherein the process-indicative data is a difference between two channels of EEG data (col. 8, lines 37-50)

Application/Control Number: 10/667,045 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hively et al. in view of Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,815,413).

Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,132 B1) teach all the features of claims 1 and 10 from which claims 4 and 16 depend. Hively et al. teach where data may be used from tools, such as a drill bit, or a process such as a nuclear system (col. 17, lines 38-45). However, Hively et al. do not teach wherein the process-indicative data is three-phase electrical power.

Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,815,413) teach a method wherein the process-indicative data is three-phase electrical power (col. 9, lines 40-42) and is vibration mechanical power (col. 10, lines 13-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,132 B1) to include the three-phase electrical power data from the chaotic time series analysis of Hively et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,815,413), because a tool, such as a power drill, are operated by using the three-phase AC power from the power lines. It would also have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/667,045 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

to include vibration mechanical power data because vibration mechanical power data is

generated from a mechanical device such as a power drill.

Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hively et al. in view of Clapp et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,626,145).

Hively et al. teach filtering each set of data with a zero-phase quadratic filter that

filters out low-frequency artifacts (col. 13, lines 5-14). However, Hively et al. do not

teach the use of a filter to filter out high-frequency artifacts.

Clapp et al. teach filtering data with a zero-phase quadratic filter to filter out high

frequency artifacts (col. 4, lines 52-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to modify Hively et al. to include the zero-phase quadratic filter that

filters high frequency artifacts as taught by the low-frequency extraction method of

Clapp et al., because a zero-phase quadratic filter that filters high frequencies preserves

the phase relationships of nonlinear data and filters out noise usually acquired during

data acquisition.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8, 9, 12, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2857

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon M. Bathini Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-7129. The Examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

LBJ